

Date: 12 October 2020

TO: Speaker of Parliament Honorable MP T Modise (served : Speaker@parliament.gv.za)

CC: Chairperson of the National Council of Provinces

CC: All Chief whip of political parties in parliament, (Served on email)

CC: Public Protector Adv Mkhwebane (Served on Email: dineok@pprotect.org)

URGENT LETTER OF DEMAND: PARLIAMENT TO SEASIDE AND REVIEW ITS DECISION TO IMPEACH PUBLIC PROTECTOR A CHAPTER 9 INSTITUTIONS

Dear Speaker of Parliament Honorable MP Modise

- 1. The above matter refers
- 2. We Hola Bon Renaissance Foundation act on behalf of affected citizens and/or the civil organizations and/or its members in terms of Section 56 (d) and/or 59(a) and 69 (d) of the Constitution
- 3. This letter must be read jointly with Section 56 (d) and 69 (d) of the Constitution
- 4. It has come to our attention that parliament through Office of the Speaker is initiating a process which may lead to impeachment of Public Protector (Adv Mkhwebani) which is a Chapter 9 institution as per our constitution.
- 5. Parliament plays a direct and active role in national affairs. It is the place where the members of Parliament (MP) look after South Africans interests,
- 6. Parliament vision is to represent the people, and to ensure government by the people in fulfilling our constitutional functions of passing laws and overseeing executive action
- 7. This letter demands of public participation to be adhered to, in any parliamentary decision that is in the interest of South African
- 8. Any complain and/or request to determine any fitness to hold office of any Chapter 9 institution, should be brought by members of the public and/or MP of which their leaders are not subject of any investigation by that Chapter 9 Institution and any interference with the PP will result as threat in our constitutional democracy

Physical Address: 88 Marshall Street, Marshalltown, Johannesburg, 2107

Tel No: +27681 596956 Email: info@hbrfoundation.org.za

www.hbrfoundation.org.za



- 9. Further note that for every appointment of any Chapter 9 Institution, rarliament always ensure that there is a process of public participation which is the cornerstone of our democracy, promoting transparency and fairness, (such must apply on every removal)
- 10. The process that lead to parliament to consider any impeachment of the Public protector is based **on parties interest** rather than the public interest and therefore the decision is driven by conflict of interest and **we believe that** that there is no **locus Standi in the process,** in any court of law that includes the constitutional court.
- 11. We are of the view that both the President of the African National Congress (Mr C. Ramaphosa) and the Federal Council Chairperson of the Democratic Alliance (Mrs H. Zille) are both subject of investigation by the Public Protector,
- 12. With reference to point 11, members of parliament of both parties may not participate and/or bring any motion of impeachment against Public Protector since that is a conflict of interest, since their leadership matters are **sub judice**.
- 13. We therefore demand the above matter be set aside and be reviewed within 100 days (one hundred days) from the date of transmission hereof, failing which we shall institute legal proceedings against Speaker of Parliament and the adhoc committee established for that purpose including recovering the costs that we would have occasioned as a result of Parliament failure to comply with the terms of its obligation and that of the letter of demand.
- 14. Note that point 13 is subject to parliament adhering to our request, and furthermore that should parliament decided to proceed during and/or after the above stipulated period, you will give us no other alternative but to approach the court on urgent basis
 - 15. Kindly acknowledge receipt hereof.

Yours faithfully

Bontshitswe P Mothopeng Msieleng

Chairperson of Hola Bon Renaissance (HBR) Foundation